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Addressing the social determinants of health

Primary prevention

Safety net programs and secondary prevention

Medical care and tertiary prevention
But how do disparities arise?

- Differences in the quality of care received within the health care system
- Differences in access to health care, including preventive and curative services
- Differences in life opportunities, exposures, and stresses that result in differences in underlying health status
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Differences in quality of care
(ambulance slow or goes the wrong way)
Addressing the social determinants of equity:

Why are there differences in resources along the cliff face?

Why are there differences in who is found at different parts of the cliff?

Jones CP et al. *J Health Care Poor Underserved* 2009.
3 dimensions of health intervention
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Addressing social determinants of health

Addressing social determinants of equity
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The best way to keep your children safe from injury or death from guns is to NEVER have a gun in the home:

Do not purchase a gun, especially a handgun.

Remove all guns present in the home.

Find out if there are guns in the homes where your children play.

If so, talk to the adults in the house about the dangers of guns to their families.
For those who know the danger of guns but still keep a gun in the home:

Always keep the gun unloaded and locked up.

Lock and store the bullets in a separate place.

Make sure to hide the keys to the locked boxes.

Equip guns with trigger locks.

Let your children know that risks of gun injuries may exist in places they visit and play:

American Academy of Pediatrics
Implement gun control legislation:

Ban assault weapons and high-capacity ammunition magazines.

Regulate handguns and handgun ammunition.

Restrict handgun ownership.

Reduce the number of privately owned handguns.

American Academy of Pediatrics
[No specific recommendations at this level.]
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What is racism?

A system of structuring opportunity and assigning value based on the social interpretation of how one looks (which is what we call “race”), that

- Unfairly disadvantages some individuals and communities
- Unfairly advantages other individuals and communities
- Saps the strength of the whole society through the waste of human resources

Dual Reality: A restaurant saga
I looked up and noticed a sign . . .
OPEN
Racism structures “Open/Closed” signs in our society.
It is difficult to recognize a system of inequity that privileges us.

Those on the outside are very aware of the two-sided nature of the sign.
Is there really a two-sided sign?

Hard to know, when only see “Open”. A privilege not to HAVE to know. Once DO know, can choose to act.
Levels of racism

- Institutionalized
- Personally-mediated
- Internalized

Institutionalized racism

- Differential access to the goods, services, and opportunities of society, by “race”

- Examples
  - Housing, education, employment, income
  - Medical facilities
  - Clean environment
  - Information, resources, voice

- Explains the association between social class and “race”

Personally-mediated racism

- Differential assumptions about the abilities, motives, and intents of others, by “race”
- Differential actions based on those assumptions

- Prejudice and discrimination

- Examples
  - Police brutality
  - Physician disrespect
  - Shopkeeper vigilance
  - Waiter indifference
  - Teacher devaluation

Internalized racism

- Acceptance by the stigmatized “races” of negative messages about our own abilities and intrinsic worth

- Examples
  - Self-devaluation
  - White man’s ice is colder
  - Resignation, helplessness, hopelessness

- Accepting limitations to our full humanity

Levels of Racism: A Gardener’s Tale

Who is the gardener?

- Power to decide
- Power to act
- Control of resources

- Dangerous when
  - Allied with one group
  - Not concerned with equity

“How is racism operating here?”

- Identify mechanisms
  - **Structures:** the who?, what?, when?, and where? of decision-making
  - **Policies:** the written how?
  - **Practices and norms:** the unwritten how?
  - **Values:** the why?
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“How is racism operating here?”

- **Identify mechanisms**
  - **Structures:** the *who?*, *what?*, *when?*, and *where?* of decision-making
  - **Policies:** the written *how?*
  - **Practices and norms:** the unwritten *how?*
  - **Values:** the *why?*

What is [inequity]?

A system of structuring opportunity and assigning value based on [fill in the blank]
What is *inequity*?  

A system of structuring opportunity and assigning value based on *[fill in the blank]*, that

- Unfairly disadvantages some individuals and communities
- Unfairly advantages other individuals and communities
- Saps the strength of the whole society through the waste of human resources
Many axes of inequity

- “Race”
- Gender
- Ethnicity
- Labor roles and social class markers
- Nationality, language, and legal status
- Sexual orientation
- Disability status
- Geography
- Religion
- Incarceration history

These are risk MARKERS
What is health equity?

- “Health equity” is assurance of the conditions for optimal health for all people

- Achieving health equity requires
  - Valuing all individuals and populations equally
  - Recognizing and rectifying historical injustices
  - Providing resources according to need

- Health disparities will be eliminated when health equity is achieved

Barriers to achieving health equity

- **A-historical culture**
  - The present as disconnected from the past
  - Current distribution of advantage/disadvantage as happenstance
  - Systems and structures as givens and immutable

- **Narrow focus on the individual**
  - Self-interest narrowly defined
  - Limited sense of interdependence
  - Limited sense of collective efficacy
  - Systems and structures as invisible or irrelevant

- **Myth of meritocracy**
  - Role of hard work
  - Denial of racism
  - Two babies: Equal potential or equal opportunity?
ICERD

- *International Convention on the Elimination of all forms of Racial Discrimination*
  
  International anti-racism treaty adopted by the UN General Assembly in 1965
  
  [http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/CERD.aspx](http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/CERD.aspx)

- US signed in 1966
- US ratified in 1994
Current status

- 3rd US report submitted to the UN Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination (CERD) in 2013

- 82 parallel reports submitted by civil society organizations

- CERD considered at its 85th session (13-14 Aug 2014)
CERD *Concluding Observations*

- 14-page document (25 Sep 2014) available online

- **Concerns and recommendations**
  - Racial profiling (paras 8 and 18)
  - Residential segregation (para 13)
  - Achievement gap in education (para 14)
  - Differential access to health care (para 15)
  - Disproportionate incarceration (para 20)
CERD Concluding Observations

14-page document (25 Sep 2014) available online
symbolno=CERD%2fC%2fUSA%2fCO%2f7-9&Lang=en

Concerns and recommendations

- “The Committee recommends that the State party adopt a national action plan to combat structural racial discrimination” (para 25)

- “The Committee recommends that the State party increase its efforts to raise public awareness and knowledge of the Convention throughout its territory” (para 32)
American Public Health Association
National Campaign Against Racism

- Active website: www.apha.org/racism
- Coming soon: Anti-Racism Collaborative with seven Collective Action Teams
  - Communication and Dissemination
  - Education and Development
  - Global Matters
  - Liaison and Partnership
  - Organizational Excellence
  - Policy and Legislation
  - Science and Publications
Life on a Conveyor Belt: Moving to action
Racism is most often passive
1. Name racism
2. Ask “How is racism operating here?”
3. Organize and strategize to act.
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- To change opportunity structures
  - Understand the importance of history
  - Challenge the narrow focus on the individual
  - Expose the “myth of meritocracy”
  - Examine successful strategies from outside the US
  - Acknowledge existence of systems and structures
  - View systems and structures as modifiable
  - Break down barriers to opportunity
  - Build bridges to opportunity
  - Transform consumers to citizens
  - Intervene on decision-making processes

- To value all people equally
  - Break out of bubbles to experience our common humanity
  - Embrace ALL children as OUR children
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“Reactions to Race” module

- Six-question optional module on the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System since 2002
Reactions to Race” module
Question 1

- How do other people usually classify you in this country? Would you say:
  - White
  - Black or African-American
  - Hispanic or Latino
  - Asian
  - Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
  - American Indian or Alaska Native
  - Some other group
  - Don’t know / Not sure
  - Refused
“Reactions to Race” module

Question 2

- How often do you think about your race? Would you say:
  - Never
  - Once a year
  - Once a month
  - Once a week
  - Once a day
  - Once an hour
  - Constantly
  - Don’t know / Not sure
  - Refused
“Reactions to Race” module
Question 3

Within the past 12 months at work, do you feel you were treated worse than, the same as, or better than people of other races?

- Worse than other races
- Same as other races
- Better than other races
- Worse than some races, better than others
- Only encountered people of the same race
- Don’t know / Not sure
- Refused
“Reactions to Race” module
Question 4

- Within the past 12 months when seeking health care, do you feel your experiences were worse than, the same as, or better than for people of other races?
  - Worse than other races
  - Same as other races
  - Better than other races
  - Worse than some races, better than others
  - Only encountered people of the same race
  - No health care in past 12 months
  - Don’t know / Not sure
  - Refused
“Reactions to Race” module
Question 5

- Within the past 30 days, have you experienced any physical symptoms, for example a headache, an upset stomach, tensing of your muscles, or a pounding heart, as a result of how you were treated based on your race?

  - Yes
  - No
  - Don’t know / Not sure
  - Refused
Within the past 30 days, have you felt emotionally upset, for example angry, sad, or frustrated, as a result of how you were treated based on your race?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / Not sure
- Refused
Jurisdictions using the “Reactions to Race” module 2002 to 2014 BRFSS

Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Mexico, North Carolina, Ohio, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, Wisconsin, Wyoming, Palau
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palau</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>Year 3</td>
<td>Year 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td></td>
<td>2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palau</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Socially-assigned “race”

How do other people usually classify you in this country? Would you say:

- White
- Black or African-American
- Hispanic or Latino
- Asian
- Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
- American Indian or Alaska Native
- Some other group
Socially-assigned “race”

- **On-the-street “race”** quickly and routinely assigned without benefit of queries about self-identification, ancestry, culture, or genetic endowment

- **Ad hoc racial classification**, an influential basis for interactions between individuals and institutions for centuries

- **Substrate upon which racism operates**

General health status

- Would you say that in general your health is:
  - Excellent
  - Very good
  - Good
  - Fair
  - Poor
General health status by socially-assigned "race", 2004 BRFSS

- **White**: 58.3%
- **Black**: 43.7%
- **Hispanic**: 41.2%
- **AIAN**: 36.1%

Report excellent or very good health
General health status by socially-assigned "race", 2004 BRFSS

Report excellent or very good health

- White: 58.3%
- Black: 43.7%
- Hispanic: 41.2%
- AIAN: 36.1%
Percentage of respondents reporting excellent or very good health and fair or poor health by socially-assigned "race", 2004 BRFSS.
General health status and “race”

- Being perceived as *White* is associated with better health
Self-identified ethnicity

- Are you Hispanic or Latino?
  - Yes
  - No
Self-identified “race”

Which one or more of the following would you say is your race?

- White
- Black or African-American
- Asian
- Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
- American Indian or Alaska Native
- Other
Self-identified “race”/ethnicity

- **Hispanic**
  - “Yes” to Hispanic/Latino ethnicity question
  - Any response to race question

- **White**
  - “No” to Hispanic/Latino ethnicity question
  - Only one response to race question, “White”

- **Black**
  - “No” to Hispanic/Latino ethnicity question
  - Only one response to race question, “Black”

- **American Indian/Alaska Native**
  - “No” to Hispanic/Latino ethnicity question
  - Only one response to race question, “AI/AN”
# Two measures of “race”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How self-identify</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>AIAN</th>
<th>. . .</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White 26,373</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black 5,246</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How usually classified by others
## Two measures of “race”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How self-identify</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>AIAN</th>
<th>. . .</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White 26,373</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black 5,246</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic 1,528</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Two measures of “race”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How self-identify</th>
<th>How usually classified by others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White 26,373</td>
<td>White 98.4 0.1 0.3 0.1 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black 5,246</td>
<td>Black 0.4 96.3 0.8 0.3 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic 1,528</td>
<td>Hispanic 26.8 3.5 63.0 1.2 5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- White
- Black
- Hispanic
- AIAN
General health status, by self-identified and socially-assigned "race", 2004

Percent of respondents who report excellent or very good health:

- Hispanic-Hispanic: 39.8%
- Hispanic-White: 53.7%
- White-White: 58.6%
Test of $H_0$: That there is no difference in proportions reporting excellent or very good health

**Hispanic-Hispanic versus White-White**

$p < 0.0001$
General health status, by self-identified and socially-assigned "race", 2004

Test of $H_0$: That there is no difference in proportions reporting excellent or very good health

Hispanic-Hispanic versus Hispanic-White

$p = 0.0019$
General health status, by self-identified and socially-assigned "race", 2004

Test of $H_0$: That there is no difference in proportions reporting excellent or very good health

**Hispanic-White versus White-White**

$p = 0.1895$
## Two measures of “race”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How self-identify</th>
<th>How usually classified by others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White 26,373</td>
<td>White 98.4 0.1 0.3 0.1 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black 5,246</td>
<td>Black 0.4 96.3 0.8 0.3 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic 1,528</td>
<td>Hispanic 26.8 3.5 63.0 1.2 5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIAN 321</td>
<td>AIAN 47.6 3.4 7.3 35.9 5.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Two measures of “race”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How self-identify</th>
<th>How usually classified by others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White 26,373</td>
<td>White 98.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hispanic 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AIAN 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black 5,246</td>
<td>White 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black 96.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hispanic 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AIAN 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic 1,528</td>
<td>White 26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hispanic 63.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AIAN 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other 5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIAN 321</td>
<td>White 47.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hispanic 7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AIAN 35.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other 5.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
General health status, by self-identified and socially-assigned "race", 2004

Report excellent or very good health

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race Combination</th>
<th>Percent of Respondents Reporting Excellent or Very Good Health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIAN-AIAN</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIAN-White</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White-White</td>
<td>58.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
General health status, by self-identified and socially-assigned "race", 2004

Test of $H_0$: That there is no difference in proportions reporting excellent or very good health

**AIAN-AIAN versus White-White**

$p < 0.0001$
General health status, by self-identified and socially-assigned "race", 2004

Test of $H_0$: That there is no difference in proportions reporting excellent or very good health

**AIAN-AIAN versus AIAN-White**

$p = 0.0122$
General health status, by self-identified and socially-assigned "race", 2004

Test of $H_0$: That there is no difference in proportions reporting excellent or very good health

**AIAN-White versus White-White**

$p = 0.3070$
## Two measures of “race”

### How usually classified by others

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How self-identify</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>AIAN</th>
<th>. . .</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>White</strong> 26,373</td>
<td><strong>98.4</strong></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Black</strong> 5,246</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td><strong>96.3</strong></td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hispanic</strong> 1,528</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td><strong>63.0</strong></td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AIAN</strong> 321</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td><strong>35.9</strong></td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>&gt; 1 race</strong> 406</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Two measures of “race”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How self-identify</th>
<th>How usually classified by others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White 26,373</td>
<td>98.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black 5,246</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic 1,528</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIAN 321</td>
<td>47.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 1 race 406</td>
<td>59.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Being perceived as *White* is associated with better health

- Even within non-*White* self-identified “race”/ethnic groups
General health status and “race”

- Being perceived as *White* is associated with better health
  - Even within non-*White* self-identified “race”/ethnic groups
  - Even within the same educational level
General health status and “race”

- Being perceived as *White* is associated with better health
  - Even within non-*White* self-identified “race”/ethnic groups
  - Even within the same educational level

- Being perceived as *White* is associated with higher education
Key questions

- Why is socially-assigned “race” associated with self-rated general health status?
  - Even within non-White self-identified “race”/ethnic groups
  - Even within the same educational level

- Why is socially-assigned “race” associated with educational level?
Racism

A system of structuring opportunity and assigning value based on the social interpretation of how we look (“race”), which

- Unfairly disadvantages some individuals and communities
- Unfairly advantages other individuals and communities
- Saps the strength of the whole society through the waste of human resources
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Guiding questions

- How can we support the naming of racism in all public and private spaces?
- What tools and strategies are needed to start community conversations on racism?
Anti-Racism Collaborative
Communication and Dissemination

- **Guiding questions**
  - How can we support the naming of racism in all public and private spaces?
  - What tools and strategies are needed to start community conversations on racism?

- **Possible activities**
  - Expand the APHA Webinar Series on Racism and Health
  - Convene Regional Town Halls on Anti-Racism and Health
  - Develop a toolbox of communication tools and strategies
Guiding questions

- How can we support training around issues of “race”, racism, and anti-racism at educational institutions of all levels?
- How does effective anti-racism curriculum look?
Guiding questions

- How can we support training around issues of “race”, racism, and anti-racism at educational institutions of all levels?
- How does effective anti-racism curriculum look?

Possible activities

- Convene anti-racism scholars and activists
- Develop curricula for schools of public health and medicine
- Establish an APHA Fellowship on Anti-Racism and Health
Guiding questions

- How can we use the International Convention on the Elimination of all forms of Racial Discrimination (ICERD) to support anti-racism work in the United States?
- What can we learn from anti-racism work in other nations?
**Anti-Racism Collaborative**  
**Global Matters**

- **Guiding questions**
  - How can we use the International Convention on the Elimination of all forms of Racial Discrimination (ICERD) to support anti-racism work in the United States?
  - What can we learn from anti-racism work in other nations?

- **Possible activities**
  - Disseminate information on US obligations under ICERD
  - Scan anti-racism efforts in other countries
  - Contribute to a global conversation on social equity
Guiding questions

- What anti-racism work is happening at the community level?
- What anti-racism work is happening in other sectors?
- How can we create linkages?
Anti-Racism Collaborative
Liaison and Partnership

Guiding questions
- What anti-racism work is happening at the community level?
- What anti-racism work is happening in other sectors?
- How can we create linkages?

Possible activities
- Catalog and connect local anti-racism efforts
- Develop an anti-racism commitment agreement for partner organizations
Anti-Racism Collaborative
Organizational Excellence

**Guiding questions**

- How do we answer the question “How is racism operating here?” in each of our settings?
- How do we examine structures, policies, practices, norms, and values?
Anti-Racism Collaborative Organizational Excellence

- **Guiding questions**
  - How do we answer the question “How is racism operating here?” in each of our settings?
  - How do we examine structures, policies, practices, norms, and values?

- **Possible activities**
  - Develop tools to discern historical and contemporary mechanisms of institutionalized racism
  - Establish a National Advisory Committee on Anti-Racism and Health
Guiding questions

- What are current policy and legislative strategies to address and dismantle racism?
- What new strategies should we propose?
Guiding questions
- What are current policy and legislative strategies to address and dismantle racism?
- What new strategies should we propose?

Possible activities
- Catalog anti-racism policies across jurisdictions
- Propose new areas for legislation
- Disseminate model legislation
Guiding questions

- What research has been done to examine the impacts of racism on the health and well-being of the nation and world?
- What intervention strategies have been evaluated?
- What are next steps?
Anti-Racism Collaborative Science and Publications

- **Guiding questions**
  - What research has been done to examine the impacts of racism on the health and well-being of the nation and world?
  - What intervention strategies have been evaluated?
  - What are next steps?

- **Possible activities**
  - Develop a compendium of measures of racism
  - Link anti-racism researchers in collaborative work
  - Develop a science of anti-racism
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Japanese Lanterns: Colored perceptions
The colors we think we see are due to the lights by which we look. These colored lights distort and mask our true variability.
What is “race”?

A social classification, not a biological descriptor. The social interpretation of how one looks in a “race”-conscious society.
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